Correlation between success in specific points and win percentage in Tennis

> Jiaxi(Barry) Wu and Jake Federman

Wharton Moneyball Academy 2019, Sports Analytics Student Research Journal



- 1. Discuss what stats correlate well to win percentage, analyze, and ask why the trends we are seeing are occuring
- 2. Introduce a new metric called the clutch rating
- 3. Explain a model we built that factors in multiple stats including our clutch rating to determine win percentage
- 4. Draw conclusions and speculate potential next stats



- Career Grand Slam performance from 2002 to 2019
- Source: www.ultimatetennisstatistics.com





Which stats correlate the most to winning percentage?

In other words, which stats can explain why some players have been more successful than others?

POINTS WON PERCENTAGE

- Obviously, points won percentage correlates very well to match winning percentage (95%).
 - The player who wins more points should and usually does win the match.

Data from ultimatetennisstatistics.com

Points won percentage vs match win percentage



Points won %

Analyzing points won percentage

- There is extremely little variation in players' points won percentages
 from the top players to the bottom
 - Even the best players only win at most 56% of their points.



Points won %

Analyzing points won percentage and match won percentage

- Yet match win percentage has a very wide range along the points won percentage.
- But how can we understand why that distribution has occurred? How can match won percentage be so spread out % when all the players win around the same amount of points?
- Are some points more important than others?





Points won %

Are some points more important than others?

- Initial hypothesis of some scenarios/stats that could be more important than others
 - ➢ First serve win percentage
 - Second serve win percentage
 - ➢ First serve return win percentage
 - Second serve return win percentage

Are some points more important than others?

YES.

- First serve return win percentage: 0.637
- Second serve win percentage: 0.53
- First serve win percentage: 0.52
- Second serve return win percentage: 0.43



First serve return win %

Data from ultimatetennisstatistics.com

Clutch Rating Breakdown

- Clutch Rating = Break point saved percentage + break point conversion percentage
- Correlation: ≈ 0.6



Data from ultimatetennisstatistics.com

Building a Model

Using the two stats that had relatively strong correlations (first serve return win percentage and clutch rating), we built a model to predict a player's win percentage.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_p X_p + \varepsilon$$

number of predictors

Testing the Model

The formula:

- PredictionStupid=-1.36+1.55*Clutchrating+2.52*First_Serve_Return_Win
- (coefficients found via regression)

Please note PredictionStupid is just the name assigned. The prediction is not actually stupid.

Correlation (r) :0.800

R-squared: 0.6404

RMSE:0.118

P-value<2.2e-16

Data from ultimatetennisstatistics.com



Residual plots

The incredibly random and even distribution of the residuals (left plot) show the accuracy of the



Fitted values Im(wonLostPct ~ clutchrating + first_serve_return_win)

Conclusions

- There is very little separation in the skill levels of professional tennis players.
 - Even the top players only win 56% of their points at most
- Despite this, the top players are able to win almost 90% of their matches because of their great performance in specific situations
 - The most important of these scenarios are break points and first serve return points
- Our model, which factors in both of those scenarios, correlates very well to match winning percentage (0.8)

Potential Next Steps

- Find a way to eliminate or correlate more the outliers
- Make the model predictive
- Work with a larger data set
 - I.e. work with data from all tournaments
 - Players from all eras
 - And that would require some adjusting for era, as the game has changed over time

THANK YOU!!!

Any questions?



